arXiv:1011.6068v3 [math.AC] 20 Apr 2011

GENERATORS OF TRUNCATED SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

FEDERICO GALETTO

ABSTRACT. In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, consider $(x_1^{d+1}, \ldots, x_n^{d+1})$, the ideal generated by a given power of the variables, then let $I_{n,d}$ be the intersection of this ideal with the subring of symmetric polynomials in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Building upon a result of A. Adem and Z. Reichstein, I describe generators of the ideal $I_{n,d}$ for a field $\mathbb{F}$ of arbitrary characteristic and suggest a conjecture on minimal generators of $I_{n,d}$.

CONTENTS

1. Symmetric polynomials and partitions 1
2. Generators of truncated symmetric polynomials 4
3. A conjecture on minimal generators 8
References 9

1. SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS AND PARTITIONS

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic p. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, set $R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, the polynomial ring in n indeterminates over $\mathbb{F}$, and $R^{S_n} = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{S_n}$, the ring of symmetric polynomials in n indeterminates over $\mathbb{F}$.

Recall a *partition* is a sequence

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r, \ldots)$$

of nonnegative integers in nonincreasing order:

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \lambda_r \geqslant \ldots$$

and containing only finitely many nonzero terms. We identify two such sequences which differ only by a string of zeroes at the end. The nonzero numbers λ_i are called the *parts* of λ; the number of parts of λ is called the *length* of λ and denoted $l(\lambda)$.

For a partition λ with $l(\lambda) \leqslant n$, define the *monomial symmetric polynomial* on λ to be the polynomial

$$m_\lambda = \sum x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}$$

summed over all distinct permuatations $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$. The polynomials m_λ are clearly symmetric. Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$ denotes the set of all

partitions with length smaller than or equal to n, then $\{m_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}\}$ is a basis of R^{S_n} as an $\mathbb{F}$-vector space (cf. [4], §I.2, pp. 18-19). If $l(\lambda) > n$, then $m_\lambda = 0$.

Example 1.1. Suppose $n = 3$. Then

$$m_{(1,1)} = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3,$$
$$m_{(2,1)} = x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_2x_3^2.$$

Example 1.2 (Power sums)**.**

$$p_i = x_1^i + \ldots + x_n^i = m_{(i)}$$

Example 1.3 (Elementary symmetric polynomials)**.**

$$e_i = \sum_{1\leqslant j_1<\ldots<j_i\leqslant n} x_{j_1}\ldots x_{j_i} = m_{(\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{i \text{ times}})}.$$

The following provides a multiplication formula for the polynomials m_λ.

Proposition 1.4. *For any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$,*

$$m_\lambda m_\mu = \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}} c_\nu m_\nu,$$

where c_ν is the number of different ways to write

$$(\nu_1 \ldots, \nu_n) = (\alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_n) + (\beta_1 \ldots, \beta_n)$$

with $(\alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_n)$ a permutation of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and $(\beta_1 \ldots, \beta_n)$ a permutation of $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$.

Example 1.5. Suppose $n = 3$.

$$m_{(1,1)}m_{(2,1)} = m_{(3,2)} + 2m_{(3,1,1)} + 2m_{(2,2,1)},$$

since

$$(3,2,0) = (1,1,0) + (2,1,0),$$
$$(3,1,1) = (1,1,0) + (2,0,1) = (1,0,1) + (2,1,0),$$
$$(2,2,1) = (0,1,1) + (2,1,0) = (1,0,1) + (1,2,0).$$

Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ be integers such that

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \ldots > \lambda_k > 0$$

and let $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ be positive integers. Denote by $(\lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})$ the partition λ having m_i parts equal to λ_i for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. The number m_i will be referred to as the *multiplicity* of λ_i. In particular, λ_1 will be called the *leading part* of λ, denoted $lp(\lambda)$, and m_1 will be called the *leading multiplicity* of λ, denoted $lm(\lambda)$. Notice $l(\lambda) = m_1 + \ldots + m_k$.

We provide here a list of formulas that will be employed later on. The first one is a classical result by Newton (cf. [4], §I.2, (2.11')).

Proposition 1.6 (Newton's formulas)**.** *For any $s > n$,*

$$m_{(s)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} m_{(1^j)} m_{(s-n)}.$$

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$, with $k > 1$. Then*

$$m_{(\lambda_1^{m_1})} m_{(\lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})} = m_\lambda + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu) \geqslant \lambda_1 \\ lm(\mu) = m_1 \\ l(\mu) < l(\lambda)}} a_\mu m_\mu + \sum_{\substack{lp(\nu) \geqslant \lambda_1 \\ lm(\nu) < m_1}} b_\nu m_\nu,$$

for some $a_\mu, b_\nu \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. The string of inequalities $\lambda_1 > \ldots > \lambda_k > 0$ implies that the product

$$m_{(\lambda_1^{m_1})} m_{(\lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})}$$

expands into an $\mathbb{F}$-linear combination of monomial symmetric polynomials on partitions having leading part λ_1 or $\lambda_1 + \lambda_j$ for some $j \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$. Hence their leading part will be at least λ_1 and their leading multiplicity will be at most m_1.

The only way to obtain the partition λ as a sum of permutations of $(\lambda_1^{m_1})$ and $(\lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})$ is

$$(\lambda^{m_1}, 0, \ldots, 0) + (0^{m_1}, \lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k}, 0, \ldots, 0)$$

(by abuse of notation, 0^{m_1} means the entry 0 appears m_1 times). Thus m_λ is present on the right hand side with coefficient 1.

If there is an index $j \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $m_j \geqslant m_1$, then the polynomial

$$m_{((\lambda_1 + \lambda_j)^{m_1}, \lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_j^{m_j - m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})}$$

must appear on the right hand side. Its partition has leading multiplicity m_1 and length

$$m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + (m_j - m_1) + \ldots + m_k = \sum_{j=2}^{k} m_j < l(\lambda).$$

By the preliminary observation, all other monomial symmetric polynomials appearing on the right hand side will have leading multiplicity strictly smaller than m_1. □

Lemma 1.8. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$. If s is a positive integer such that $s + l(\lambda) \leqslant n$, then*

$$m_{(\lambda_1^s)} m_\lambda = \binom{s + m_1}{s} m_{(\lambda_1^{s+m_1}, \lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})} + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu) \geqslant \lambda_1 \\ lm(\mu) = s \\ l(\mu) = l(\lambda)}} a_\mu m_\mu + \sum_{\substack{lp(\nu) \geqslant \lambda_1 \\ lm(\nu) < s}} b_\nu m_\nu,$$

for some $a_\mu, b_\nu \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. The proof follows along the same lines as the one for lemma 1.7. The only aspect that requires further clarification is the binomial coefficient that appears in front of $m_{(\lambda_1^{s+m_1},\lambda_2^{m_2},\ldots,\lambda_k^{m_k})}$. This polynomial arises whenever a permutation of (λ_1^s) is chosen that has s of its first $s+m_1$ entries occupied by λ_1 and the others by 0 and simultaneously a permutation of $(\lambda_1^{m_1},\ldots,\lambda_k^{m_k})$ is chosen that has the same s among its first $s+m_1$ entries occupied by 0 and the others by λ_1. The number of times this occurs is the number of ways to choose s entries among the first $s+m_1$. □

The formula in lemma 1.8 can be reversed to express $m_{(\lambda_1^{s+m_1},\lambda_2^{m_2},\ldots,\lambda_k^{m_k})}$ in terms of the other monomial symmetric polynomials whenever the binomial coefficient $\binom{s+m_1}{s}$ is invertible in $\mathbb{F}$. This is obviously possible when the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$ is 0. When $p>0$, the following result helps to determine if a binomial coefficient is invertible.

Theorem 1.9 (Lucas)**.** *Let m and n be non negative integers, p a prime and*

$$m = m_t p^t + m_{t-1}p^{t-1} + \ldots + m_1 p + m_0,$$
$$n = n_t p^t + n_{t-1}p^{t-1} + \ldots + n_1 p + n_0$$

the base p expansions of m and n. Then

$$\binom{m}{n} \equiv \prod_{j=0}^{t} \binom{m_j}{n_j} \pmod{p}.$$

A proof can be found in [2] (§6).

2. Generators of truncated symmetric polynomials

For a given non negative integer d, consider the ideal $(x_1^{d+1},\ldots,x_n^{d+1})$ of $R=\mathbb{F}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. Since R^{S_n} is a subring of R, the intersection

$$I_{n,d} := (x_1^{d+1},\ldots,x_n^{d+1}) \cap R^{S_n}$$

produces an ideal of R^{S_n}. Call $I_{n,d}$ the ideal of *truncated symmetric polynomials*. The goal of this section is to find a set of generators of $I_{n,d}$.

Remark 2.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$. The monomial symmetric polynomial m_λ belongs to $I_{n,d}$ if and only if $lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1$. Moreover, $I_{n,d}$ is spanned over $\mathbb{F}$ by the set $\{m_\lambda \mid lp(\lambda)\geqslant d+1\}$. Hence $I_{n,d}$ is generated by the (infinite) set $\{m_\lambda \mid lp(\lambda)\geqslant d+1\}$ as an ideal of R^{S_n}.

The following fundamental result was proven in [1] (Lemma 5.2).

Proposition 2.2 (Adem, Reichstein)**.** *Let $I=(m_{(d+1)},\ldots,m_{(d+n)}) \subseteq R^{S_n}$. I contains every m_λ with $lp(\lambda)\geqslant d+1$ and $lm(\lambda)\in\mathbb{F}^\times$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on $l(\lambda)$.

For the base step assume $l(\lambda) = 1$, i.e. $m_\lambda = m_{(\lambda_1)}$ for some $\lambda_1 \geqslant d+1$. By definition, $m_{(d+1)}, \ldots, m_{(d+n)} \in I$. The monomial symmetric polynomials with larger values of λ_1 can be obtained by applying Newton's formulas

$$m_{(s+n+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} m_{(1^j)} m_{(s+n+1-j)}$$

recursively, starting from $s = d$.

For the inductive step, assume $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})$ with $l(\lambda) > 1$, $\lambda_1 \geqslant d+1$ and $m_1 \in \mathbb{F}^\times$. By lemma 1.8,

$$m_{(\lambda_1)} m_{(\lambda_1^{m_1-1}, \lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})} = \binom{m_1}{1} m_\lambda + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu) \geqslant \lambda_1 \\ lm(\mu)=1 \\ l(\mu)=l(\lambda)-1}} a_\mu m_\mu,$$

for some $a_\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. The second summation from lemma 1.8 vanishes since every m_ν appearing on the right has ν with leading multiplicity at least 1. By the base case, $m_{(\lambda_1)} \in I$. Moreover, the summation on the right hand side belongs to I by the inductive hypothesis. Finally $\binom{m_1}{1} = m_1 \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ implies $m_\lambda \in I$. □

Corollary 2.3. *If $p = 0$ or $n < p$, then $I_{n,d} = (m_{(d+1)}, \ldots, m_{(d+n)})$.*

Proof. In light of remark 2.1, it is enough to show $lm(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$. If $p = 0$, this is trivial. If $n < p$, observe $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$ implies $lm(\lambda) \leqslant l(\lambda) \leqslant n < p$; hence $lm(\lambda)$ is invertible. □

Remark 2.4. The proof of proposition 2.2 also shows why $m_{(d+1)}, \ldots, m_{(d+n)}$ alone do not generate $I_{n,d}$ when $n \geqslant p$. In this case, if $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$ has leading multiplicity a multiple of p, then the coefficient of m_λ in the product

$$m_{(\lambda_1^i)} m_{(\lambda_1^{m_1-i}, \lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})}$$

will vanish for $0 < i < p$. This suggests some monomial symmetric polynomials with leading multiplicity a multiple of p may be required as additional generators.

From now on, $p > 0$ will be assumed. The following is a generalization of Newton's formulas.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p^i \leqslant n$ and let $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that $n = qp^i + r$ with $0 \leqslant r < p^i$. For every $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} (-1)^{j-1} m_{(1^{jp^i})} m_{((s+q+1-j)^{p^i})} = m_{((s+q+1)^{p^i})} + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu) \geqslant s+1 \\ lm(\mu) < p^i}} a_\mu m_\mu,$$

for some $a_\mu \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. The product $m_{(1^{jp^i})}m_{((s+q+1-j)^{p^i})}$ expands to

$$m_{((s+q+2-j)^{p^i},1^{(j-1)p^i})} + m_{((s+q+1-j)^{p^i},1^{jp^i})} + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu)\geqslant s+1\\ lm(\mu)<p^i}} a_{\mu,j}m_\mu,$$

for some $a_{\mu,j} \in \mathbb{F}$, when $j \in \{1,\dots,q-1\}$ and to

$$m_{((s+2)^{p^i},1^{(q-1)p^i})} + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu)\geqslant s+1\\ lm(\mu)<p^i}} a_{\mu,q}m_\mu,$$

for some $a_{\mu,q} \in \mathbb{F}$, when $j = q$. Summing over j with alternating signs, all monomials symmetric polynomials with leading multiplicity p^i cancel out except for $m_{((s+q+1)^{p^i})}$. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p^i \leqslant n$ and let $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that $n = qp^i + r$ with $0 \leqslant r < p^i$. Let I be an ideal of R^{S_n} such that*

$$I \supseteq \{m_\lambda \mid lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1, lm(\lambda) < p^i\}.$$

If $J = (m_{((d+1)^{p^i})},\dots,m_{((d+q)^{p^i})})$, then $I+J$ contains every m_λ with $lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1$ and $lm(\lambda) \leqslant p^i$.

Proof. If $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$ has $lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1$ and $lm(\lambda) < p^i$, then it belongs to $I \subseteq I+J$ by the hypothesis.

Suppose $lm(\lambda) = p^i$. The proof that $m_\lambda \in I+J$ is by induction on $l(\lambda)$. For the base case, assume $l(\lambda) = p^i$, i.e. $m_\lambda = m_{(\lambda_1^{p^i})}$ for some $\lambda_1 \geqslant d+1$. We have $m_{((d+1)^{p^i})},\dots,m_{((d+q)^{p^i})} \in J \subseteq I+J$, by definition. The monomial symmetric polynomials with larger values of λ_1 can be obtained applying the formula from lemma 2.5 recursively, starting from $s = d$. More explicitly

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q}(-1)^{j-1}m_{(1^{jp^i})}m_{((s+q+1-j)^{p^i})} = m_{((s+q+1)^{p^i})} + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu)\geqslant s+1\\ lm(\mu)<p^i}} a_\mu m_\mu,$$

for some $a_\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. The left hand side belongs to $I+J$ by recursion while the summation on the right is in $I \subseteq I+J$ by the hypothesis. Hence $m_{((s+q+1)^{p^i})} \in I+J$.

For the inductive step, assume $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{p^i},\lambda_2^{m_2},\dots,\lambda_k^{m_k})$ with $l(\lambda) > p^i$ and $\lambda_1 \geqslant d+1$. By lemma 1.7,

$$m_{(\lambda_1^{p^i})}m_{(\lambda_2^{m_2},\dots,\lambda_k^{m_k})} = m_{(\lambda)} + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu)\geqslant\lambda_1\\ lm(\mu)=p^i\\ l(\mu)<l(\lambda)}} a_\mu m_\mu + \sum_{\substack{lp(\nu)\geqslant\lambda_1\\ lm(\nu)<p^i}} b_\nu m_\nu,$$

for some $a_\mu, b_\nu \in \mathbb{F}$. By the base case, $m_{(\lambda_1^{p^i})} \in I+J$. On the right hand side, the first summation belongs to $I+J$ by the inductive hypothesis and the second one belongs to $I \subseteq I+J$ by the hypothesis. Thus $m_\lambda \in I+J$. □

We are now ready to exhibit a set of generators for the ideal $I_{n,d}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $t = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid p^i \leqslant n\}$ and let $q_0, \ldots, q_t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that, $\forall i \in \{0, \ldots, t\}$, $n = q_i p^i + r_i$ with $0 \leqslant r_i < p^i$. For every $i \in \{0, \ldots, t\}$, define an ideal of R^{S_n}*

$$J_{(i)} := (m_{((d+1)^{p^i})}, \ldots, m_{((d+q_i)^{p^i})})$$

and set

$$I_{(i)} := J_{(0)} + \ldots + J_{(i)}.$$

Then $I_{n,d} = I_{(t)}$.

Remark 2.8. $I_{(0)} = J_{(0)} = (m_{(d+1)}, \ldots, m_{(d+n)})$.

Proof. It is clear that $I_{(0)} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I_{(t)} \subseteq I_{n,d}$. In light of remark 2.1, $I_{n,d} \subseteq I_{(t)}$ will follow if one can show that $I_{(t)}$ contains every polynomial m_λ with $lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1$.

Claim: $\forall i \in \{0, \ldots, t\}$, $I_{(i)} \supseteq \{m_\lambda \mid lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1, lm(\lambda) < p^{i+1}\}$.

The claim will be proven by induction on i. For $i = 0$, the claim is an immediate consequence of proposition 2.2.

Assume $i > 0$. By inductive hypothesis,

$$I_{(i-1)} \supseteq \{m_\lambda \mid lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1, lm(\lambda) < p^i\}.$$

By definition $I_{(i)} = I_{(i-1)} + J_{(i)}$ where $J_{(i)} = (m_{((d+1)^{p^i})}, \ldots, m_{((d+q_i)^{p^i})})$. Hence, by proposition 2.6,

$$I_{(i)} \supseteq \{m_\lambda \mid lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1, lm(\lambda) \leqslant p^i\}. \tag{1}$$

It remains to show $I_{(i)}$ contains all monomial symmetric polynomials m_λ with $p^i < lm(\lambda) < p^{i+1}$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k}) \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$ with $\lambda_1 \geqslant d+1$ and $p^i < m_1 < p^{i+1}$. The base p expansion of m_1 is

$$m_1 = h_i p^i + h_{i-1} p^{i-1} + \ldots + h_1 p + h_0,$$

where $0 \leqslant h_j < p$, $\forall j \in \{0, \ldots, i\}$, and $h_i \neq 0$. Set $u = h_{i-1} p^{i-1} + \ldots + h_0$, so that $m_1 = h_i p^i + u$. By lemma 1.8,

$$m_{(\lambda_1^{p^i})} m_{(\lambda_1^{(h_i-1)p^i+u}, \lambda_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \lambda_k^{m_k})} = \binom{m_1}{p^i} m_\lambda + \sum_{\substack{lp(\mu) \geqslant \lambda_1 \\ lm(\mu) = p^i \\ l(\mu) = l(\lambda)}} a_\mu m_\mu + \sum_{\substack{lp(\nu) \geqslant \lambda_1 \\ lm(\nu) < p^i}} b_\nu m_\nu,$$

for some $a_\mu, b_\nu \in \mathbb{F}$. By (1), $m_{(\lambda_1^{p^i})}$ and the two summations on the right hand side all belong to $I_{(i)}$. Moreover, by Lucas' theorem,

$$\binom{m_1}{p^i} \equiv \binom{h_i}{1} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \binom{h_j}{0} \equiv h_i \pmod{p}.$$

Since $0 < h_i < p$, $\binom{m_1}{p^i} \in \mathbb{F}^\times$; therefore $m_\lambda \in I_{(i)}$. This concludes the proof of the claim.

When $i = t$, the claim gives

$$I_{(t)} \supseteq \{m_\lambda \mid lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1, lm(\lambda) < p^{t+1}\}.$$

If $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{\leqslant n}$ and $lp(\lambda) \geqslant d+1$, the inequality $lm(\lambda) \leqslant l(\lambda) \leqslant n < p^{t+1}$ implies $m_\lambda \in I_{(t)}$. Therefore $I_{n,d} = I_{(t)}$. □

3. A conjecture on minimal generators

Theorem 2.7 describes a set of generators for the ideal $I_{n,d}$. Some of these generators are obviously redundant.

Example 3.1. Let $p = 2$, $n = 8$ and $d = 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{8,2} = &(m_{(3)}, m_{(4)}, m_{(5)}, m_{(6)}, m_{(7)}, m_{(8)}, m_{(9)}, m_{(10)}) + \\ &+ (m_{(3^2)}, m_{(4^2)}, m_{(5^2)}, m_{(6^2)}) + \\ &+ (m_{(3^4)}, m_{(4^4)}) + \\ &+ (m_{(3^8)}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice

$$m_{(6)} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^6 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^3\right)^2 = (m_{(3)})^2;$$

similarly

$$m_{(8)} = (m_{(4)})^2,\ m_{(10)} = (m_{(5)})^2,\ m_{(6^2)} = (m_{(3^2)})^2.$$

Therefore the generators $m_{(6)}, m_{(8)}, m_{(10)}, m_{(6^2)}$ are redundant.

In general, if $p > 0$, we have

$$(m_{((d+j)^{p^i})})^p = m_{((p(d+j))^{p^i})};$$

therefore, if $m_{((d+h)^{p^i})}$ is a generator of $I_{n,d}$ with $d + h = p(d + j)$, it can be discarded. This observation leads to the following

Conjecture. *Let $t = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid p^i \leqslant n\}$ and let $q_0, \ldots, q_t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that, $\forall i \in \{0, \ldots, t\}$, $n = q_i p^i + r_i$ with $0 \leqslant r_i < p^i$. Then*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{t} \left\{ m_{((d+h)^{p^i})} \,\middle|\, h \in \{1, \ldots, q_i\}, d + h \neq p(d + j)\ \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, h\} \right\}$$

is a minimal set of generators of the ideal $I_{n,d}$.

The conjecture has been verified to hold up to $n = 9$, in all relevant cases, using Macaulay2 [3].

References

1. Alejandro Adem and Zinovy Reichstein, *Bundles, cohomology and truncated symmetric polynomials*, Documenta Mathematica **15** (2010), 1029–1047.
2. Andrew Granville, *Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients 1: Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers*, Canadian Mathematical Society Conference Proceedings **20** (1997), 253–275.
3. Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.
4. Ian Grant Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford University Press, 1995.